Filed

OF E Patent

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of

Applicants: LINCOLN, Stephen E. and

KNAPP, Michael R.

Examiner: FREDMAN, Jeffrey N.

Serial No.: 09/618,178

18 July 2000

Title: Automatic Genotype Determination

Art Unit: 1655

Kalow & Springut LLP 488 Madison Avenue, 19<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, New York 10022

24 February 2003

Commissioner for Patents Box RCE Washington, D.C. 20231

# REPLY TO A FINAL OFFICE ACTION PURSUANT TO 37 CFR 1.114

#### SIR or MADAM:

This is submitted in response to a final Office Action dated 18 January 2002 issued in connection with the patent application identified above. A Notice of Appeal with respect to the outstanding final Office Action was timely submitted to the Patent and Trademark Office with a

#### Certificate of Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby declare that this correspondence is being	depo	sited wi	ith the Unite	d States 1	Postal Serv	ice wi	th
sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope address	sed to:	Com	nissioner for	Patents,	Box RCE,	Wash	ington,
D.C. 20231, on	Λ,	)	\				

24 February 2003

Date

David Fliett Ir (Reg. No. 27.875)

Applicants:

Stephen. Lincoln and

Michael R. Knapp

Serial No.:

09/618,178

Filed:

18 July 2000

Page 2

petition for an extension of time by mail with a certificate of mailing on 18 July 2002. The appeal was dated 23 July 2002. Pursuant to a petition for an extension of time submitted herewith, the time for filing an appeal brief in the subject appeal has been set for 23 February 2003, which falls on a Sunday. The present reply to a final Office Action and accompanying Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 and petition for extension of time are being submitted by mail with a certificate of mailing on the next business day following the Sunday deadline and therefore are being timely submitted.

## <u>AMENDMENTS</u>

Please amend the subject application as set forth below.

### In the Claims

Amend claims 51, 52, 56, 58, 60, and 62 through 68 inclusive as set forth below (which repeats amendments set forth in a previous reply dated 13 December 2001, but not entered):

Claims 51, 56, 62, 64, and 67, line 1, for "49" substitute - - 72 - -.

Claims 52, 58, 63, 65, and 68, line 1, for "50" substitute - -74 - -.

Claims 60 and 66, line 1, for "48" substitute - - 72 - -.

# Add the following new claims 75 through 95 inclusive.

- -75. (New) A method of determining the genotype at a locus within genetic material obtained from a biological sample, the method comprising:
  - A. reacting the material at the locus to produce a first reaction value indicative of the presence of a given allele at the locus;
  - B. forming a data set including the first reaction value;